

Marking Scheme for NYMUN 2019 Conference Protocol

Speakers List speech (/10)

After the agenda is decided the speakers list is open for deliberation on the topic. This gives the delegate to state the stance of the delegates country and position on solutions in regard to the topic. Each delegate has the right to speak twice on the same question, but can't make a second speech as long as any delegate who hasn't spoken desires the floor.

- [1-3 points]: -This speech does not consist of facts or statements being produced to the house that is necessary for the betterment of debate. The speech may consist general information about the topic but clearly does not elaborate on solutions or mechanisms to solve the problem at hand.
- [4-6 points]: -This speech consists of facts and statements that are related to the debate and appropriate solutions and mechanisms are spoken about. This band of marking can be provided to speeches where the delegate isn't able to answer the POI's for the speech sufficiently.
- [7-10 points]: -This speech is a well-structured speech where the message is clearly sent across to the audience. The speech consists of very appropriate matter for the argument and is clearly elaborated upon using examples and other appropriate rhetoric. The speech should clearly outline the country's policy towards the topic discussed and how the delegate's agenda is to be pushed forward.

POI (/5)

When the delegates wish to ask questions from the delegate who has obtained the floor, they will raise a point of information. This gives the opportunity for the delegate to raise questions in relation to statements made by the delegate and questions in relation to the topic.

- [1-2 points]: -This question does not lead to facts or statements being produced to the house that is necessary for the betterment of debate. It could be a question that is not or vaguely related to the topic at hand.
- [3-4 points]: -This class of points will be awarded for questions that aren't effectively worded therefore lead to the consumption of time. However it is to be directly related to the topic and will clearly lead to the presentation of facts and statements that would provide a solution to the topic at hand or considered for the betterment of debate.
- [5 points] :- This question will contain a full merit of reasoning and well-grounded relation to the subject matter being discussed via a strong, relevant use of facts and inferences. This band focuses on questions that are aimed at providing such information in an elaborate and technical manner using the least amount of time possible.

Right to Reply (/10)

If the integrity of a delegate's governing authority or sovereignty is impugned upon, the delegate can raise a Right of Reply to said remarks. This needs to be done in writing to the headtable and, if approved, the chair will allow a lot of time for the delegate to speak.

- [1-4 points]: -This speech does not consist of facts or statements being produced to the house that is relevant to the basis of the right to reply. The speech is very vague in terms of pointing out the purpose of it and does not help debate better.
- [5-7 points]: -There is warrant to raise a Right to reply that is successfully identified by the delegate. This speech clearly outlines the purpose of the right to reply and continues to elaborate.
- [8-10 points]: - There is warrant to raise a Right to reply and clearly outlines the purpose of the right to reply in the fastest way possible using the least amount of time. The speech uses relevant examples and uses them further to describe the purpose of the speech. (usually given when a delegate sparks debate in the relevant fields appropriately through a right to reply)

Mod Caucus Topic (/5)

Moderated caucus involves the deliberation of sub-topics pertaining to the main topic. The committee may begin deliberation on moderated caucus topics, under the discretion of the headtable, or decision by the committee, preceding a motion to move into open mod caucus discussion.

- [1-3 points]: - The MC topic is relevant to the topic being discussed, however will not warrant possible solution to the
- [4-5 points]: - The MC topic is relevant to the topic being discussed, it is straight to the point and uses an appropriate amount of words to describe the context

Mod Caucus Discussion (/10)

During the time set for Mod caucus debate, delegates may request to obtain the floor, to speak in favour or in opposition to the topic. After the duration of debate has elapsed or exhausted speakers, the committee will proceed to vote on the moderated caucus topic, requiring a majority to pass.

- [1-3 points]: - This speech is not presented in a logical manner with a lack of context to support the speech.
- [4-5 points]: -This speech is presented in a very logical manner, the delegate continues to use various examples to elaborate on the mod caucus and introduces various policies to further enlighten the house on the mod caucus.

Resolution debate (/10)

When delegates raise a motion to move into debate of a draft resolution, delegates will present and debate for or against a resolution which addresses solutions to issues that our discussed in deliberation of the respective topic. Delegates will need to display skills in lobbying and displaying validity or defence for a resolution with the objective of creating acceptance of majority of delegates in the house.

- [1-4 points]: -This speech vaguely describes the resolution at hand and doesn't provide further elaborations with the aid of examples or any other relevant ways. The speech doesn't cater to the question that is being resolved and isn't helping the resolution be accepted within a committee.
- [5-7 points]: -This speech clearly outlines the policies the resolution is bringing forward and there is utmost relevancy to the question being resolved. The delegate uses the aid of situations that have occurred at the international arena in order to further elaborate on the clauses.
- [8-10 points]: -This speech clearly outlines the purpose of the resolution, describes all the important clauses and makes a clear idea of the direction it is heading. Furthermore, the speech highlights the ability for the resolution validity and acceptance to all countries concerned with the respective topic. A speech at this band usually should be able to stand by the resolution when it is questions through POIs.

Amendments (/5)

An amendment is a written statement that adds, deletes or changes an operative clause in a draft resolution. The amendment process is used to strengthen consensus on a resolution by allowing delegates to change the operative clauses.

- [1-2 points]: - Amendment does help the resolution, however limited to cutting of clauses to make it a resolution that is more widely recognized to the house.
- [3-5 points]: - Amendment helps the resolution as it widens the area being resolved or any other appropriate reason.

Amendment Speeches (/5)

These are speeches made for or against the passing of an amendment that has been submitted. The objective of amendment speeches is to improve the position of the resolution to the house.

- [1-2 points]: - The speech is presented in an illogical manner making the speech unclear and difficult to understand how the amended will add value to the resolution. The speech doesn't clearly state why the amendment is important and very little content is provided.
- [3-5 points]: - This speech is presented in a very clear manner where all delegates understand what is being spoken about. The speech elaborately explains why the amendment is important and goes on to convince the audience that it should be passed. The speech uses the aid of citing examples from various sources in order to further justify the amendments validity.

Lobbying (/10 per day)

When moving into unmoderated caucus there is a temporary suspension of formal proceedings of debate and allows informal discussion and lobbying. During this informal session delegates are required to discuss in groups with regards to solutions to issues that are in relation to the topic at hand.

- [1-4 points]: - Evaluates delegates to be focused and contribute to finding susceptible solutions to the issues discussed on the respective topic.
- [5-7 points]: - The delegate actively negotiates and discusses solutions to the noted perambulatory clauses and takes ownership on creating active operative clauses that will create a stable and strong resolution.
- [8-10 points]: - The delegate shows negotiation skills to discuss the issues and suggest solutions that all will appeal to the house. The delegate is also able to show leadership in being able to discuss the operatives and make decisions on what is necessary for discussion.

Knowledge of Protocol (/10 per day)

This is the overall ability to embrace diplomacy and follow formal debate protocol.

- [1-4 points]: -The ability to raise the correct motions at the required time and maintain decorum and respect all members of the house when committee is in session.
- [5-7 points]: - Delegate is diplomatic and follows debate style that is formal and respectful to rules of procedure. The delegate does not use personal pronouns or maintains personal eye contact and represents the delegates country without foreign policy violations.
- [8-10 points]: - Delegates are able to show good negotiation skills and does not need to be called to order at any occasion. The content of the speaker is relevant to the discussion and add value to the debate in order to solve issues that are addressed within the house.

Foreign Policy Statement/ (100)

A **document** that briefly and clearly explains the **policies** of your country/organization with respect to the issues dealt with at the conference.

- **80 to 100: Outstanding** - Answers all three questions in the most apt form, perfect formatting, proper grammar, foreign policy has to 100% accurate, Shows a very broad understanding of the issue, clarity of expression
- **70 to 80: Exceptional** - Answers the questions well, Good formatting, proper grammar, 100% accuracy in the foreign policy, logical cohesion in argumentation, clarity in expression
- **60 to 70: Acceptable** - Answers the questions, clear logical arguments to a very large extent, examples are appropriate, countries position is explained
- **40 to 50: Poor** – Poor answers to the questions, basic research, poor language skills, very poor formatting
- **30 to 40** - Have not answered the questions/answers based on their own understanding, just mentioned facts about the country, random facts about the topic
- **30 or less: Troll** – Plagiarism, Gives facts about the country, very poor language skills, no formatting, very poor research

Points to consider when marking

1. Understanding the topic
2. Accuracy of the foreign policy
3. Coherence in Arguments
4. Clarity of expression
5. Attempts to solve the issue
6. Research
7. Citations
8. Grammar
9. Formatting
10. Deadline

Weightages

Chairs Evaluation – 65%

FPS: 100% Independent Evaluation.

Debate: 60%

- Speakers List, POI, Right to Reply, ModC topic, ModC Discussion, Resolution Debate, Amendments and Amendment Speeches

Knowledge of Protocol: 20%

Lobbying: 20%

Delegates Vote- 35%